

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,156		03/27/2002	Hiroaki Munehira	220800U2XPCT	9787
22850	7590	09/26/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN			WANG, QUAN ZHEN		
-	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANI	DRIA, VA	22314		2613	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				5%
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/089,156	MUNEHIRA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Quan-Zhen Wang	2613	
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specifie - Failure to reply within the set or	ER, FROM THE MAILING I lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. mailing date of this communication. d above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statule later than three months after the mailing	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep	ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed on 14.	July 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FIN	· · · —	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this applica	tion is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accorda	nce with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/a	re pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above of	claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is.	/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/a	·			
7) Claim(s) is.	<u>-</u>	, , , , , , , ,		
8) Claim(s) ar	re subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is	s objected to by the Examin	ner.		
,		cepted or b) objected to by		
	, , ,	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
· ·) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d Office Action or form PTO-152.	i).
,		-xammer. Note the attached v	Since Action of John F 10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119		•	
·—		In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	i19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some		to book book and		
	pies of the priority documer		nligation No	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	nts have been received in Appoints have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
	from the International Bure	•	socived in this Hational Stage	
/ • •		st of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
		·		
Attachment(s)		_		
1) Notice of References Cited	(PTO-892) tent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Par3) Information Disclosure State		5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/0		6)	•	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 14, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerfoot et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,704,511 B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Kerfoot discloses a wavelength division multiplexing and optical transmission apparatus (fig. 3) comprising: a plurality of optical transmitting units (fig. 3, transmitter150) for modulating a plurality of laser signals (fig. 3, laser signal

Art Unit: 2613

outputted from laser 152) having inherent wavelength with a plurality of data signals (fig. 3, data information 158) and outputting a plurality of modulated optical signals (fig. 3, output from modulator 154); optical amplifying means (fig. 3, broadband noise source 138; column 3, lines 43-60) with non-input and for outputting an amplified spontaneous emission light signal; band pass filter means (fig. 3, filter 140) for band pass filtering the output of the amplifying means and outputting a non-modulated spectrum slice optical signals; and optical multiplexing means (fig. 3, MUX 132) for multiplexing the nonmodulated spectrum slice optical signals as a dummy signal of an optical signal to be added in the future with the modulated optical signals and transmitting a multiplexed optical signal (column 3, line 43 to column 4, line 42), the bandpass filter means includes a first and second plurality of band pass filters (fig. 5, filters 143.1-143.3 and filters 143.4-143.6). Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically disclose a second optical amplifier connected to the bandpass filter means. However, it is well known in the art to utilize an optical amplifier to amplify optical signals. For example, Kerfoot disclose to use an optical amplifier (fig. 3, amplifier 156) to amplify a modulated optical signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate optical amplifiers connected to the bandpass filter means for the filters dummy signals, as it is used for the modulated signal, in order to boost the optical power of the filters dummy signals to appropriate level.

· Regarding claims 3 and 9, Kerfoot further teaches that the optical amplifying means comprises optical amplifier having a signal input terminal terminated at no-

Art Unit: 2613

reflection (column 3, lines 53-56), and the band passing filter means comprises a light dividing element (fig. 5, DEMUX 144) for dividing the amplified spontaneous emission light signal output by the optical amplifier into a plurality of amplified spontaneous emission light signals, and a plurality of optical band pass filters (fig. 5, filters 143.1 to 143.6), connected to a plurality of divided output terminals of the light dividing element respectively, for outputting the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, the prior art fig. 1, Kerfoot further teach that the optical amplifying means comprises optical amplifier having a signal input terminal terminated at no-reflection (column 3, lines 53-56). Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically teach that the optical amplifier means comprises a pair of optical amplifiers. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ more than one optical amplifier for the optical amplification means since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Kerfoot has been discussed in regard with claims 1, 3, 7, and 9 above. Kerfoot further teaches an optical multiplexer (fig. 5, MUX 146) for multiplexing two or more outputs of the optical band pass filters with each other and outputting the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signals, and controlling a power of the output to a constant value (column 3, lines 57-60). Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically teach an optical amplifier for amplifying an output of the optical multiplexer. However, an optical amplifier is well known in the art

Art Unit: 2613

and is widely used to amplify optical signals wherever needed. For example, Kerfoot discloses to use an optical amplifier (fig. 3, amplifier 156) to amplifier the modulated signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate an optical amplifier for amplifying an output of the non-modulated signals in order to boost the power strength of the ASE source used for dummy optical signals.

4. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerfoot et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,704,511 B1) in view of Alphonsus et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,764,405).

Regarding claims 5 and 11, the prior art fig. 1, Kerfoot has been discussed above in regard with the rejection for claims 1 and 2. Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically teach that the optical amplifying means comprises a plurality of pumping laser signal sources connected to the optical amplifier redundantly. However, it is well known in the art that redundant pumping lasers are used to provide for a virtually non-failing optical amplifier. For example, Alphonsus teaches to use redundant pump lasers (fig. 2, Pumps 50) to provide for a virtually non-failing optical amplifier (column 4, lines 21-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate an amplifier with redundant pump sources, at it is taught by Alphonsus, along the transmission line, in the system of Kerfoot in order to boost the ASE power strength for dummy optical signals and provide reliable transmission system.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,156 Page 6

Art Unit: 2613

5. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerfoot et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,704,511 B1) in view of Mathis (U.S. Patent US 4,726,644).

Regarding claims 6 and 12, the prior art fig. 1, Kerfoot has been discussed above in regard with the rejection for claim 1. Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically teach that the band pass filtering means comprises a plurality of optical band pass filters connected in cascade. However, it is well known in the art to cascade two or more band pass filters. For example, Mathis teaches to connect two filters in cascade (column 9, lines 44-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to use a plurality of optical band pass filters connected in cascade, as it is taught by Mathis, in the system of Kerfoot in order to provide multiple stage of bandpass filtering with a narrower pass band.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed one July 14, 2006 have been carefully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan-Zhen Wang whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/089,156 Page 7

Art Unit: 2613

272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday -

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qzw 2/8/2006

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600